

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಸ್. ಕೃಷ್ಣನ್.—ಹೆಂಗಸರ ಕಾಲೇಜಿಗೆ ಜಾಗ ಕೊಡಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ಪ್ರೈವೇಟ್ ಮಾನೇಜ್‌ಮೆಂಟಿನವರಿಗೆ ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆಯೇ? ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ಟೆಂಪರರಿ ಷೆಡ್ ಹಾಕಿಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಏನು ತೊಂದರೆ? ಆಗ ಎಲ್ಲರೂ ಏಕಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ವಿದ್ಯಾಭ್ಯಾಸವನ್ನು ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವಕಾಶವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ ಅಷ್ಟಾದರೂ ತಾವು ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಡಬೇಕು.

SRI A. R. BADARINARAYAN.—Certainly, I will try to do my best in the matter.

*(iv) Re Regularisation of Local Candidates as Lecturers and Demonstrators*

SRI C. M. ARUMUGHAM. (K. G. F.) Madam, I call the attention of the Minister for Education to the regularisation of local candidates employed in 19 colleges as lecturers and Demonstrators.

SRI A. K. BADARANARAYAN (MINISTER FOR EDUCATION) MADAM, During 1970-71, 15 local candidates were appointed as Lecturers/Demonstrators in the Deptt. of Collegiate Education through the Employment Exchange against additional posts sanctioned during 1970. At the time of their appointment, these local candidates had been clearly informed of the terms and conditions of their appointment. Hence, they were aware of the temporary nature of their appointment.

2. Government in its Notification No. GAD 15 SRL 70 (A) dated 19th December 1970 issued special rules for regularising the services of certain local candidates who fulfilled the conditions prescribed in those rules. Apart from other conditions one of the conditions was that they should have put in one year's continuous service as on 1st October 1970. It was found that 23 local candidates satisfied these conditions and their services have accordingly been regularised by the Department. As regards the remaining 133, since they did not satisfy the requirements of the regularisation rules, their services were terminated at the end of the academic year 1971. In addition, there were some local candidates who were appointed only for a fixed period in the leave vacancies of permanent incumbents. The services of these people were also terminated at the end of the academic year 1971.

3. At the commencement of the academic year 1971-72 there were 200 vacancies in the Collegiate Education Deptt. consisting of 99 posts of Lecturers and 101 posts of Demonstrators. In order to ensure that immediate arrangements were made for filling up the posts temporarily till such time as permanent incumbents could be selected by the competent body, these vacant posts were filled up by candidates sponsored by the Employment Exchange. The appointments of all these people is purely temporary. They also do not have the necessary requirements to be regularised under the regularisation rules of 1970. In the meantime, to enable the department to have permanent incumbents for these vacant posts as against temporary arrangements now, going on, these vacant posts have been notified to the P. S. C. for advertisement and selection of candidates against these posts. Even in

the P.S.C. in case these candidates are otherwise fully qualified, they can take their chance along with others. The service rendered by these candidates in the department would be counted towards relaxation, as far as age limit goes, even in these recruitments by the P. S. C.

SRI C. M. ARUMUGHAM.—I would like to bring to the notice of the Government that the Director of Collegiate Education is making such arrangements every year. She appoints these local candidates at the beginning of the year and terminates their services at the end of the year. She has been doing this continuously for the last three years. Secondly, they were appointed through the Employment Exchange, that means, they were qualified to be appointed as Lecturers and Demonstrators. Thirdly they have been employed continuously for the last three years. Their names have been removed from the live register of the Employment Exchange and in case they are not selected by the P.S.C. they will not lose their appointments but they will also become junior if they are reinstated afterwards. Fourthly, the Hon. Minister has said that the service rendered by these candidates in the department would be counted towards relaxation as far as age limit goes, would like to know whether their teaching experience in the department would also be considered.

2-30 P.M.

SRI A. R. BADARINARAYAN.—I admit, there has been some delay in the recruitment of persons permanently. Of course this cabinet cannot take full responsibility for what has happened in the past. Now we will do our best to see that no more temporary candidates are appointed and whoever is appointed, is appointed on a permanent basis.

SRI C. M. ARUMUGHAM.—What will you do about those persons who are in the service? You cannot exclude them. You must probe into the matter. The persons are already in service. I was told that the Government is thinking of appointing the Director of Collegiate Education as the Vice Chancellor. But I am concerned with the department of Collegiate Education. Will the Government kindly probe into the matter? Will you kindly consider the cases of those who are there in service for 3 years or 4 years and confirm them?

SRI A. R. BADARINARAYAN.—As my hon. friend said these candidates should not be left in the lurch. Therefore two concessions are shown to them. One is their age question. The age restriction is exempted. As the D.D. P. I. is the Chairman of that Committee he will consider the experience gained and the service rendered by these persons to the department. I may also state here that there are people who hold strong views that fresh blood must be infused.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೋಣಂದೂರು ಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ. —ರಿಟೈರು ಆದವರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಹೇಳುತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ, ಒಂದೆ ಲೋಕಲ್ ಕ್ಯಾಂಡಿಡೇಟುಗಳು ಎಂದು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಅವರು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಮೂರು ನಾಲ್ಕು ವರ್ಷಗಳ ಕಾಲ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿ ರುವುದರಿಂದ ಇವರನ್ನು ರೆಗ್ಯುಲರ್ಸ್ ಮಾಡಿ ಎಂದು ನಾವು ಕೇಳುತ್ತಿರುವುದು.

Sri A. R. BADARINARAYAN. —Recruitment rules prescribe that there must be equity in the matter of appointments. When these appointments were made on adhoc basis they were not made with reference to any rules. So, we have got to do appointments on certain basis.

SRI M. S. KRISHNAN. —Why should the Government make appointments on ad hoc basis? When they have served for 3 or 4 years, don't you see their cases have to be considered.

SRI A. R. BADARINARAYAN. —Any way I can only say that the suggestion made by the hon. member Sri C M. Armugam regarding the unfortunate cases of those who have put in 3 or 4 years of service have got to be considered and see what directions or suggestions we can give.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಡಿ. ದೇವೇಗೌಡ. —೧೯೭೦ನೇ ಇಸವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಲೋಕಲ್ ಕ್ಯಾಂಡಿಡೇಟ್‌ಗಳು ಎಂದು ಯಾರು ಅಲ್ಲಿಯ ನಿಯಮಗಳಿಗನುಸಾರವಾಗಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದರೋ ಅಂತಹವರ ಸರ್ವಿಸ್‌ಗಳನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ರೆಗ್ಯುಲರ್ಸ್ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಒಂದು ನಿರ್ಣಯವನ್ನು ಆಗಿನ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿರಲಿಲ್ಲವೇ? ಹಾಗೆ ಇದ್ದ ಪಕ್ಷದಲ್ಲಿ ಈಗ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಲೋಕಲ್ ಕ್ಯಾಂಡಿಡೇಟ್‌ಗಳನ್ನು ಕನ್‌ಫರ್ಮ್ ಮಾಡಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಬರುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಆರ್. ಬದರಿನಾರಾಯಣ. —ತಾವು ಮೇಳತಕ್ಕ ನಿರ್ಣಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಶಾಶ್ವತವಾಗಿ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಇರಲಿಲ್ಲ.

It is not on a permanent basis. They went on extending the period till the recruitments were made.

SRI PRABHAKAR TELKAR. —These unfortunate lecturers should be given some concession. They are working for the last 3 years. They have got teaching experience and if they are deprived at this late juncture it will be a great hardship. They have passed M.Sc. and if the public service Commission were to call for application from persons who have passed B.Sc. and select them in preference to those who have passed M.Sc. and who have got teaching experience, it will be a great hardship. Therefore the appointment of these persons may be considered.

SRI A. R. BADARINARAYAN. —The hon. member has repeated what the other hon. members have said. I have answered it.

ಶ್ರೀ ಹೆಚ್. ಡಿ. ದೇವೇಗೌಡ. —೧೯೭೦ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ತಂದಿದ್ದ ನಿರ್ಣಯ ಅವರನ್ನು ಕಂಟಿನ್ಯೂ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು, ರೆಗ್ಯುಲರ್‌ಸೇಷನ್ ಆಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಹೇಳುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಇದು ಯಾವ ಭಾವನೆಯಿಂದ ತಂದಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಎನ್ನುವ ಆಗಿನ ನಿರ್ಣಯವನ್ನು ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಮುಂದೆ ಇಡುತ್ತೀರಾ? ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ಲೋಕಲ್ ಕ್ಯಾಂಡಿಡೇಟ್‌ಗಳೆಲ್ಲರನ್ನೂ ರೆಗ್ಯುಲರ್ಸ್ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಇದೆ, ಇದು ರಿಕಾರ್ಡ್‌ನಲ್ಲೋದೆ, ಅದ್ದರಿಂದ ಆ ನಿರ್ಣಯದ ಉದ್ದೇಶ ತಿಳಿಯುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಅದನ್ನು ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಮುಂದೆ ಕೊಂಡು ಇಟ್ಟರೆ ಇದರಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಸಂಶಯ ನಿವಾರಣೆಯಾಗಲೂ ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.

**SRI A. R. BADARINARAYAN**,—In view of the statement that the Leader of the Opposition has made, I am willing to look into the records and see what can be done.

**ಶ್ರೀ ವಿ. ಎನ್. ಪಾಟೀಲ್**.—ಮಾನ್ಯ ಲೇಬರ್ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಒಂದು ವಿಚಾರ ತರಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ, ಮೂರು ಸಾವಿರ ಜನ ಕಾರ್ಮಿಕರು ಸ್ಟ್ರೈಕ್ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಅದೂ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಆಗುತ್ತಿದೆ. ಇದರ ಕಡೆಗೆ ಲೇಬರ್ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಬೇಗನೆ ಗಮನ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ತಮ್ಮ ಮೂಲಕ ಕೇಳಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

**ಶ್ರೀ ಕೊಣಂದೂರು ಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ**.—ಲೋಕಲ್ ಕ್ವಾಂಟಿಟಿಟಿಗಳು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದೇ ಕಡೆ ಅಲ್ಲ. ಇದೇ ರೀತಿ ಮೂರು ವಿಶ್ವವಿದ್ಯಾನಿಲಯಗಳಲ್ಲೂ ಇದೆ. ಇದರ ಕಡೆಗೆ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಗಮನ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಸಮಗ್ರವಾಗಿ ಎಲ್ಲರಿಗೂ ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗುವಂತೆ ಒಂದು ಸೂಚನೆ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೇಳಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

**ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಎಂ. ಶೀರನಾಥ ಚಂದ್ರಶೇಖರ**.—ಹೊಸನಗರದ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಮಾಪ್ಪರದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಮಾನ್ಯ ವಿದ್ಯಾ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳ ಗಮನ ಸೆಳೆಯಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ. ನಾನು ಕಾಗದಗಳನ್ನು ಎಷ್ಟು ಬರವರೂ ಉತ್ತರವೇ ಬರುತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ. ನನಗೆ ಇದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಉಂಟಾದ ಟಿಲಿಗ್ರಾಂಗಳು ದಿನವೂ ಬರುತ್ತಿವೆ. ಇದು ಬಹು ಜರೂರಾದ ವಿಚಾರ. ಇದನ್ನು ವಿದ್ಯಾ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತರಬೇಕೆಂದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

**SRI K. H. PATIL**.—If the hon. members are in receipt of telegrams and the situation is urgent, they have remedies under rules giving call attention notice and all that or seek other remedies that are available under the rules.

**SRI A. R. BADARINARAYAN**.—I am very much interested in Hosanagar which is a part of Shimoga and shall do my very best.

## KEROSENE DEALING IN BANGALORE

**SRI C. M. ARUMUGUM (K.G.F.)**.—Madam Speaker, the other day you were extremely good enough to permit us to raise the matter relating to wonderful Kerosene Dealer of Bangalore. The Hon. Minister of State for Food and Civil Supplies also stated that he was selling kerosene without licence and he applied and got the licence after advice. But subsequently she was reading the official report denying what she stated. Some half-a-dozen leading papers not only here, but in Madras, Kerala etc., have reported that this great man of Malleswaram Mr. K. K. Murthy was selling about 30,000 litres of kerosene per day and on advice he applied and got the licence. We have given notice of two and a half-an-hour discussion on the matter. I request you to kindly accord your permission.

Secondly, if the Hon. Minister make statement and the next day they deny it then where are we? Of course, I cannot blame the Reporters, I am one of those who will protect the interest of the Legislature Secretariat and its efficient functioning under your leadership. I don't want to cast reflections because that would be a reflection on the Chair. But what happens when a Minister says something and subsequently denies it? May I suggest therefore, that there must be a taperecorder for the proceedings of this House as in the Corporation